arXiv:1603.08147v1 [math.GR] 26 Mar 2016

ON A COMPLETE TOPOLOGICAL INVERSE POLYCYCLIC MONOID

SERHII BARDYLA AND OLEG GUTIK

Abstract. We give sufficient conditions when a topological inverse λ-polycyclic monoid P_λ is absolutely H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups. Also, for every infinite cardinal λ we construct the coarsest semigroup inverse topology τ_{mi} on P_λ and give an example of a topological inverse monoid S which contains the polycyclic monoid P_2 as a dense discrete subsemigroup.

In this paper all topological spaces will be assumed to be Hausdorff. We shall follow the terminology of [10, 12, 16, 31]. If A is a subset of a topological space X, then we denote the closure of the set A in X by $\mathrm{cl}_X(A)$. By $\mathbb{N}$ we denote the set of all positive integers and by ω the first infinite cardinal.

A semigroup S is called an *inverse semigroup* if every a in S possesses an unique inverse, i.e. if there exists an unique element a^{-1} in S such that

$$aa^{-1}a = a \qquad \text{and} \qquad a^{-1}aa^{-1} = a^{-1}.$$

A map which associates to any element of an inverse semigroup its inverse is called the *inversion*.

A *band* is a semigroup of idempotents. If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote the subset of idempotents in S by $E(S)$. If S is an inverse semigroup, then $E(S)$ is closed under multiplication. The semigroup operation on S determines the following partial order $\leqslant$ on $E(S)$: $e \leqslant f$ if and only if $ef = fe = e$. This order is called the *natural partial order* on $E(S)$. A *semilattice* is a commutative semigroup of idempotents. A semilattice E is called *linearly ordered* or a *chain* if its natural order is a linear order. A *maximal chain* of a semilattice E is a chain which is properly contained in no other chain of E. The Axiom of Choice implies the existence of maximal chains in any partially ordered set. According to [36, Definition II.5.12] a chain L is called ω-chain if L is order isomorphic to $\{0, -1, -2, -3, \ldots\}$ with the usual order $\leqslant$. Let E be a semilattice and $e \in E$. We denote $\downarrow e = \{f \in E \mid f \leqslant e\}$ and $\uparrow e = \{f \in E \mid e \leqslant f\}$.

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote by $\mathscr{R}$, $\mathscr{L}$, $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{H}$ the Green relations on S (see [17] or [12, Section 2.1]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a\mathscr{R}b & \text{if and only if} & aS^1 = bS^1; \\ a\mathscr{L}b & \text{if and only if} & S^1a = S^1b; \\ \multicolumn{3}{c}{\mathscr{D} = \mathscr{L}\circ\mathscr{R} = \mathscr{R}\circ\mathscr{L};} \\ \multicolumn{3}{c}{\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{L} \cap \mathscr{R}.} \end{array}$$

The $\mathscr{R}$-class (resp., $\mathscr{L}$-, $\mathscr{H}$-, or $\mathscr{D}$–class) of the semigroup S which contains an element a of S will be denoted by R_a (resp., L_a, H_a, or D_a).

The bicyclic monoid $\mathscr{C}(p, q)$ is the semigroup with the identity 1 generated by two elements p and q subjected only to the condition $pq = 1$. The semigroup operation on $\mathscr{C}(p, q)$ is determined as follows:

$$q^k p^l \cdot q^m p^n = q^{k+m-\min\{l,m\}} p^{l+n-\min\{l,m\}}.$$

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid $\mathscr{C}(p, q)$ is a bisimple (and hence simple) combinatorial E-unitary inverse semigroup and every non-trivial congruence on $\mathscr{C}(p, q)$ is a group congruence [12]. Also the well known Andersen Theorem states that *a simple semigroup S with an idempotent is completely simple if and only if S does not contains an isomorphic copy of the bicyclic semigroup* (see [2] and [12, Theorem 2.54]).

Date: March 29, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22A15, 22A26, 54A10, 54D25, 54D35, 54H11.

Key words and phrases. Inverse semigroup, bicyclic monoid, polycyclic monoid, free monoid, semigroup of matrix units, topological semigroup, topological inverse semigroup, minimal topology.

Let λ be a non-zero cardinal. On the set $B_\lambda = (\lambda \times \lambda) \cup \{0\}$, where $0 \notin \lambda \times \lambda$, we define the semigroup operation "$\cdot$" as follows

$$(a,b)\cdot(c,d) = \begin{cases} (a,d), & \text{if } b = c; \\ 0, & \text{if } b \neq c, \end{cases}$$

and $(a,b)\cdot 0 = 0\cdot(a,b) = 0\cdot 0 = 0$ for $a,b,c,d \in \lambda$. The semigroup B_λ is called the *semigroup of $\lambda\times\lambda$-matrix units* (see [12]).

In 1970 Nivat and Perrot proposed the following generalization of the bicyclic monoid (see [35] and [31, Section 9.3]). For a non-zero cardinal λ, the polycyclic monoid on λ generators P_λ is the semigroup with zero given by the presentation:

$$P_\lambda = \left\langle \{p_i\}_{i\in\lambda}, \{p_i^{-1}\}_{i\in\lambda} \mid p_i p_i^{-1} = 1, p_i p_j^{-1} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j \right\rangle.$$

It is obvious that in the case when $\lambda = 1$ the semigroup P_1 is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup with adjoined zero. For every finite non-zero cardinal $\lambda = n$ the polycyclic monoid P_n is a congruence free, combinatorial, 0-bisimple, 0-E-unitary inverse semigroup (see [31, Section 9.3]).

A *topological* (*inverse*) *semigroup* is a Hausdorff topological space together with a continuous semigroup operation (and an inversion, respectively). Obviously, the inversion defined on a topological inverse semigroup is a homeomorphism. If S is a semigroup (an inverse semigroup) and τ is a topology on S such that (S,τ) is a topological (inverse) semigroup, then we shall call τ a (*inverse*) *semigroup topology* on S. A *semitopological semigroup* is a Hausdorff topological space endowed with a separately continuous semigroup operation.

Let $\mathfrak{STSG}_0$ be a class of topological semigroups. A semigroup $S \in \mathfrak{STSG}_0$ is called *H-closed in $\mathfrak{STSG}_0$*, if S is a closed subsemigroup of any topological semigroup $T \in \mathfrak{STSG}_0$ which contains S both as a subsemigroup and as a topological space. The H-closed topological semigroups were introduced by Stepp in [40], and there they were called *maximal semigroups*. A topological semigroup $S \in \mathfrak{STSG}_0$ is called *absolutely H-closed in the class $\mathfrak{STSG}_0$*, if any continuous homomorphic image of S into $T \in \mathfrak{STSG}_0$ is H-closed in $\mathfrak{STSG}_0$. Absolutely H-closed topological semigroups were introduced by Stepp in [41], and there they were called *absolutely maximal*.

Recall [1], a topological group G is called *absolutely closed* if G is a closed subgroup of any topological group which contains G as a subgroup. In our terminology such topological groups are called H-closed in the class of topological groups. In [37] Raikov proved that a topological group G is absolutely closed if and only if it is Raikov complete, i.e., G is complete with respect to the two-sided uniformity. A topological group G is called *h-complete* if for every continuous homomorphism $h\colon G \to H$ the subgroup $f(G)$ of H is closed [13]. In our terminology such topological groups are called absolutely H-closed in the class of topological groups. The h-completeness is preserved under taking products and closed central subgroups [13]. H-closed paratopological and topological groups in the class of paratopological groups were studied in [38]. The paper [7] contains a sufficient condition for a quasitopological group to be H-closed, which allowed us to solve a problem by Arhangel'skii and Choban [3] and show that a topological group G is H-closed in the class of quasitopological groups if and only if G is Raĭkov-complete. In [18] it is proved that a topological group G is H-closed in the class of semitopological inverse semigroups with continuous inversion if and only if G is compact.

In [41] Stepp studied H-closed topological semilattices in the class of topological semigroups. There he proved that an algebraic semilattice E is algebraically h-complete in the class of topological semilattices if and only if every chain in E is finite. In [27] Gutik and Repovš studied the closure of a linearly ordered topological semilattice in a topological semilattice. They obtained a characterization of H-closed linearly ordered topological semilattices in the class of topological semilattices and showed that every H-closed linear topological semilattice is absolutely H-closed in the class of topological semilattices. Such semilattices were studied also in [11, 20]. In [5] the closures of the discrete semilattices $(\mathbb{N}, \min)$ and $(\mathbb{N}, \max)$ were described. In that paper the authors constructed an example of an H-closed topological semilattice in the class of topological semilattices, which is not absolutely H-closed in the class of topological semilattices. The constructed example gives a negative answer to Question 17 from [41].

H-closed and absolutely H-closed (semi)topological semigroups and their extensions in different classes of topological and semitopological semigroups were studied in [8, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]

In [6] we showed that the λ-polycyclic monoid for in infinite cardinal $\lambda \geqslant 2$ has similar algebraic properties to that of the polycyclic monoid P_n with finitely many $n \geqslant 2$ generators. In particular we proved that for every infinite cardinal λ the polycyclic monoid P_λ is a congruence-free, combinatorial, 0-bisimple, 0-E-unitary, inverse semigroup. Also we showed that every non-zero element $x \in P_\lambda$ is an isolated point in (P_λ, τ) for every Hausdorff topology on P_λ, such that P_λ is a semitopological semigroup; moreover, every locally compact Hausdorff semigroup topology on P_λ is discrete. The last statement extends results of the paper [32] treating topological inverse graph semigroups. We described all feebly compact topologies τ on P_λ such that (P_λ, τ) is a semitopological semigroup. Also in [6] we proved that for every cardinal $\lambda \geqslant 2$ any continuous homomorphism from a topological semigroup P_λ into an arbitrary countably compact topological semigroup is annihilating and there exists no Hausdorff feebly compact topological semigroup containing P_λ as a dense subsemigroup.

This paper is a continuation of [6]. In this paper we give sufficient conditions on a topological inverse λ-polycyclic monoid P_λ to be absolutely H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups. For every infinite cardinal λ we construct the coarsest semigroup inverse topology τ_{mi} on P_λ and give an example of a topological inverse monoid S which contains the polycyclic monoid P_2 as a dense discrete subsemigroup.

It is well known that for an arbitrary topological inverse semigroup S and every element $x \in S$ the continuity of the semigroup operation and the inversion in S implies that any $\mathscr{L}$-class L_x and any $\mathscr{R}$-class R_x which contain the element x are closed subsets in S. Indeed, the Wagner–Preston Theorem (see Theorem 1.17 from [12]) implies that $L_x = L_{x^{-1}x}$ and $R_x = R_{xx^{-1}}$ for arbitrary $x \in S$ and since the maps $\varphi\colon S \to E(S)$ and $\psi\colon S \to E(S)$ defined by the formulae

$$(x)\varphi = xx^{-1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad (x)\psi = x^{-1}x$$

are continuous, we get that $L_x = (x^{-1}x)\psi^{-1}$ and $R_x = (xx^{-1})\varphi^{-1}$ are closed subsets of the topological semigroup S. This implies that for any idempotents e and f of a topological inverse semigroup S the following $\mathscr{H}$-classes of S:

$$H_e = R_e \cap L_e \qquad \text{and} \qquad H_{e,f} = R_e \cap L_f$$

are closed subsets of the topological inverse semigroup S too. Moreover, the relations $\mathscr{L}$, $\mathscr{R}$ and $\mathscr{H}$ are closed subsets in $S \times S$, but $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{J}$ are not necessary closed subsets in $S \times S$ for an arbitrary topological inverse semigroup S (see [15, Section II]).

The following proposition describes $\mathscr{D}$-equivalent $\mathscr{H}$-classes in an arbitrary topological inverse semigroup.

Proposition 1. *Let S be a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup and a, c be $\mathscr{D}$-equivalent elements of S. Then there exists $b \in S$ such that $a\mathscr{R}b$ and $b\mathscr{L}c$ in S, and hence $as = b$, $bs' = a$, $tb = c$, $t'c = b$, for some $s, s', t, t' \in S$. The mappings $\mathfrak{f}_{a,c}\colon H_a \to H_c\colon x \mapsto txs$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{c,a}\colon H_c \to H_a\colon x \mapsto t'xs'$ are continuous and mutually inverse, and hence are homeomorphisms of closed subspaces H_a and H_c of the topological space S. Moreover, if H_a and H_c are subgroups of S then H_a and H_c are topologically isomorphic closed topological subgroups in the topological inverse semigroup S.*

Proof. The above arguments imply that H_a and H_c are closed subspaces of S. Also, the algebraic part of the statement of our theorem follows from Theorem 2.3 of [12] and Theorem 1.2.7 from [28]. The continuity of the semigroup operation in S implies that the maps $\mathfrak{f}_{a,c}\colon H_a \to H_c$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{c,a}\colon H_c \to H_a$ are continuous and hence are homeomorphisms. Now, the proof of Theorem 1.2.7 from [28] implies that in the case when H_a and H_c are subgroups of S, then there exist $u, u' \in S$ such that the maps $\mathfrak{f}_{a,c}\colon H_a \to H_c\colon x \mapsto uxu'$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{c,a}\colon H_c \to H_a\colon x \mapsto u'xu$ are mutually inverse isomorphisms and the continuity of the semigroup operation in S implies that so defined maps are topological isomorphisms. $\square$

Remark 2. The proof of Proposition 1 implies that any two $\mathscr{D}$-equivalent $\mathscr{H}$-classes of a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup S are homeomorphic subspaces in S, but they are not necessary closed subspaces in S, and a similar statement holds for maximal subgroups in S (see [18]).

Lemma 3. *Let T and S be a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup such that S is an inverse subsemigroup of T. Let G be an $\mathscr{H}$-class in S which is a closed subset of the topological inverse semigroup T and D_G be a $\mathscr{D}$-class of the semigroup S which contains the set G. Then every $\mathscr{H}$-class $H \subseteq D_G$ of the semigroup S is a closed subset of the topological space T.*

Proof. First we consider the case when G has an idempotent, i.e., G is a maximal subgroup of the semigroup S (see Theorem 2.16 of [12]).

In the case when the $\mathscr{H}$-class H contains an idempotent, Theorem 2.16 in [12] implies that H is a maximal subgroup of S and hence H is a subgroup of topological inverse semigroup T. We put e and f are unit elements of the groups G and H, respectively. Since the idempotents e and f are $\mathscr{D}$-equivalent in S, Proposition 3.2.5 of [31] implies that there exists $a \in S$ such that $aa^{-1} = e$ and $a^{-1}a = f$. Now by Proposition 3.2.11(5) of [31] the idempotents e and f are $\mathscr{D}$-equivalent in the semigroup T. Put H_e^T and H_f^T be the $\mathscr{H}$-classes of idempotents e and f in the semigroup T, respectively. We define the maps $\mathfrak{f}_{e,f}\colon T \to T$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{f,e}\colon T \to T$ by the formulae $(x)\mathfrak{f}_{e,f} = a^{-1}xa$ and $(x)\mathfrak{f}_{f,e} = axa^{-1}$, respectively. Then for any $s \in H_e^T$ and $t \in H_f^T$ we get the equalities

$$(s)\mathfrak{f}_{e,f}\big((s)\mathfrak{f}_{e,f}\big)^{-1} = a^{-1}sa(a^{-1}sa)^{-1} = a^{-1}saa^{-1}s^{-1}a = a^{-1}ses^{-1}a = a^{-1}ss^{-1}a = a^{-1}ea = a^{-1}a = f,$$
$$\big((s)\mathfrak{f}_{e,f}\big)^{-1}(s)\mathfrak{f}_{e,f} = (a^{-1}sa)^{-1}a^{-1}sa = a^{-1}s^{-1}aa^{-1}sa = a^{-1}s^{-1}esa = a^{-1}s^{-1}sa = a^{-1}ea = a^{-1}a = f,$$
$$(t)\mathfrak{f}_{f,e}\big((t)\mathfrak{f}_{f,e}\big)^{-1} = ata^{-1}(ata^{-1})^{-1} = ata^{-1}at^{-1}a^{-1} = atft^{-1}a^{-1} = att^{-1}a^{-1} = afa^{-1} = aa^{-1} = e,$$
$$\big((t)\mathfrak{f}_{f,e}\big)^{-1}(t)\mathfrak{f}_{f,e} = (ata^{-1})^{-1}ata^{-1} = at^{-1}a^{-1}ata^{-1} = at^{-1}fta^{-1} = at^{-1}ta^{-1} = afa^{-1} = aa^{-1} = e,$$
$$\big((s)\mathfrak{f}_{e,f}\big)\mathfrak{f}_{f,e} = aa^{-1}saa^{-1} = ese = s,$$
$$\big((t)\mathfrak{f}_{f,e}\big)\mathfrak{f}_{e,f} = a^{-1}ata^{-1}a = ftf = t,$$

because $aa^{-1} = ss^{-1} = s^{-1}s = e$, $ea = a$, $af = a$ and $a^{-1}a = tt^{-1} = t^{-1} = f$. Similarly, for arbitrary $s, v \in H_e^T$ and $t, u \in H_f^T$ we have that

$$(s)\mathfrak{f}_{e,f}(v)\mathfrak{f}_{e,f} = a^{-1}saa^{-1}va = a^{-1}seva = a^{-1}sva = (sv)\mathfrak{f}_{e,f}$$

and

$$(t)\mathfrak{f}_{f,e}(u)\mathfrak{f}_{f,e} = ata^{-1}aua^{-1} = atfua^{-1} = atua^{-1} = (tu)\mathfrak{f}_{f,e}.$$

Hence the restrictions $\mathfrak{f}_{e,f}|_{H_e^T}\colon H_e^T \to H_f^T$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{f,e}|_{H_f^T}\colon H_f^T \to H_e^T$ are mutually invertible group isomorphisms. Also, since $a \in S$ we get that the restrictions $\mathfrak{f}_{e,f}|_G\colon G \to H$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{f,e}|_H\colon H \to G$ are mutually invertible group isomorphisms too. This and the continuity of left and right translations in T imply that H is a closed subgroup of the topological inverse semigroup T.

Next we consider the case when the $\mathscr{H}$-class H contains no idempotents. Then there exists distinct idempotents $e, f \in S$ such that $ss^{-1} = e$ and $s^{-1}s = f$ for all $s \in H$. Suppose to the contrary that H is not a closed subset of the topological inverse semigroup T. Then there exists an accumulation point $x \in T \setminus H$ of the set H in the topological space T. Since every $\mathscr{H}$-class of a topological inverse semigroup T is a closed subset of T we get that H and x are contained in a same $\mathscr{H}$-class H_x of the semigroup T. Then $xx^{-1} = e$ and $x^{-1}x = f$. Now the $\mathscr{H}$-class H_e^T in T which contains the idempotent $e \in S$ is a topological subgroup of the topological inverse semigroup T and by Proposition 1 the subspace H_e^T of the topological space T is homeomorphic to the subspace H_x of T. Moreover, Theorem 1.2.7 from [28] implies that there exists a homeomorphism $\mathfrak{f}\colon H_x \to H_e^T$ such that the image $(H)\mathfrak{f}$ is a topological subgroup of the topological inverse semigroup T and $(H)\mathfrak{f}$ is topologically isomorphic to the topological group G. Then $(H)\mathfrak{f}$ is not a closed subgroup of T which contradicts our above part of the proof.

Assume that G has no idempotents. By the previous part of the proof it suffices to show that there exists a maximal subgroup H_e with an idempotent e in the $\mathscr{D}$-class D_G such that H_e is a closed subgroup

of topological semigroup T. Suppose to the contrary that every maximal subgroup in the $\mathscr{D}$-class D_G is not a closed in T. Fix and arbitrary subgroup H_e with an idempotent e in the $\mathscr{D}$-class D_G such that $xx^{-1} = e$ for all $x \in G$. Then Proposition 3.2.11(3) of [31] implies that there exist $\mathscr{H}$-classes H_G^T and H_e^T in the semigroup T which contain the set G and group H_e. Since in the topological semigroup T every $\mathscr{H}$-class is a closed subset in T, we have that G is a closed subset of the space H_G^T and H_e is not a closed subgroup of the topological group H_e^T. Then Proposition 3.2.11 of [31] and Proposition 1 imply that there exist $s, s', t, t' \in S$ such that the maps $\mathfrak{f}_e \colon H_e^T \to H_G^T \colon x \mapsto txs$ and $\mathfrak{f}_G \colon H_G^T \to H_e^T \colon x \mapsto t'xs'$ are mutually invertible homeomorphisms of the topological spaces H_e^T and H_G^T such that the restrictions $\mathfrak{f}_e|_{H_e} \colon H_e^T \to G$ and $\mathfrak{f}_G|_G \colon G \to H_e$ are mutually invertible homeomorphisms. This is a contradiction, because H_e is not a closed subset of H_e^T. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 3 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 4. *Let T and S be a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup such that S is an inverse subsemigroup of T. Let G be a maximal subgroup in S which is H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups and D_G be a $\mathscr{D}$-class of the semigroup S which contains the group G. Then every $\mathscr{H}$-class $H \subseteq D_G$ of the semigroup S is a closed subset of the topological space T.*

Lemma 5. *Let S be a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup such the following conditions hold:*

(*i*) *every maximal subgroup of the semigroup S is H-closed in the class topological groups;*
(*ii*) *all non-minimal elements of the semilattice $E(S)$ are isolated points in $E(S)$.*

If there exists a topological inverse semigroup T such that S is a dense subsemigroup of T and $T \setminus S \neq \varnothing$ then for every $x \in T \setminus S$ at least one of the points $x \cdot x^{-1}$ or $x^{-1} \cdot x$ belongs to $T \setminus S$.

Proof. First we consider the case when the topological semilattice $E(S)$ does not have the smallest element. Then the space $E(S)$ is discrete and Theorem 3.3.9 of [16] implies that $E(S)$ is an open subset of the topological space $E(T)$ and hence every point of the set $E(S)$ is isolated in $E(T)$. Also by Proposition II.3 [15] we have that $\mathrm{cl}_T(E(S)) = \mathrm{cl}_{E(T)}(E(S))$ and hence the points of the set $E(T) \setminus E(S)$ are not isolated in the space $E(T)$.

Fix an arbitrary point $x \in T \setminus S$. By Corollary 4 every $\mathscr{H}$-class is a closed subset of the topological inverse semigroup T. Since x is an accumulation point of the set S in the topological space T we have that every open neighbourhood $U(x)$ of the point x in T intersects infinitely many $\mathscr{H}$-classes of the semigroup S. By Proposition II.1 of [15] the inversion on T is a homeomorphism of the topological space T and hence $(U(x))^{-1}$ is an open neighbourhood of the point x^{-1} in T which intersects infinitely many $\mathscr{H}$-classes of the semigroup S. Then the continuity of the semigroup operations and the inversion in T implies that at least one of the sets $\left(U(x)\,(U(x))^{-1}\right) \cap E(T)$ or $\left((U(x))^{-1}\,U(x)\right) \cap E(T)$ is infinite for every open neighbourhood $U(x)$ of the point x in the topological semigroup T. This implies that at least one of $x \cdot x^{-1}$ or $x^{-1} \cdot x$ is a non-isolated point in the topological space $E(T)$.

In the case when the semilattice $E(S)$ has a minimal idempotent the presented above arguments imply that for arbitrary point $x \in T \setminus S$ and every open neighbourhood $U(x)$ of the point x in T one of the sets $\left(U(x)\,(U(x))^{-1}\right) \cap E(T)$ or $\left((U(x))^{-1}\,U(x)\right) \cap E(T)$ is infinite for every open neighbourhood $U(x)$ of the point x in the topological semigroup T. Since H_e is a minimal ideal of S and it is a Raĭkov complete topological group. Then there exists an open neighborhood $U(x)$ of x in T, such that $U(x) \cap H_e = \emptyset$. If $xx^{-1} = e$ or $x^{-1}x = e$ then $x = xx^{-1}x \in H_e$, which contradicts that $x \in T \setminus S$. Hence $xx^{-1} \in T \setminus S$ or $x^{-1}x \in T \setminus S$. □

Lemma 5 implies the following two corollaries.

Corollary 6. *Let S be a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup satisfying the following conditions:*

(*i*) *every maximal subgroup of the semigroup S and the semilattice $E(S)$ are H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups;*
(*ii*) *all non-minimal elements of the semilattice $E(S)$ are isolated points in $E(S)$.*

Then S is H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups.

Corollary 7. *Let $\lambda \geqslant 2$ and let P_λ be a proper dense subsemigroup of a topological inverse semigroup S. Then either $xx^{-1} \in S \setminus P_\lambda$ or $x^{-1}x \in S \setminus P_\lambda$ for every $x \in S \setminus P_\lambda$.*

The following theorem gives sufficient condition when a topological inverse λ-polycyclic monoid P_λ is absolutely H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups.

Theorem 8. *Let λ be a cardinal $\geqslant 2$ and τ be a Hausdorff inverse semigroup topology on P_λ such that $U(0) \cap L$ is an infinite set for every open neighborhood $U(0)$ of zero 0 in (P_λ, τ) and every maximal chain L of the semilattice $E(P_\lambda)$. Then (P_λ, τ) is absolutely H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups.*

Proof. First we observe that the definition of the λ-polycyclic monoid P_λ implies that for every maximal chain L in $E(P_\lambda)$ the set $L \setminus \{0\}$ is an ω-chain. Then Theorem 2 of [5] implies that every maximal chain L in $E(P_\lambda)$ with the induced topology from (P_λ, τ) is an absolutely H-closed topological semilattice. Suppose that $E(P_\lambda)$ with the induced topology from (P_λ, τ) is not an H-closed topological semilattice. Then there exists a topological semilattice S which contains $E(P_\lambda)$ as a dense proper subsemilattice. Also the continuity of the semilattice operation in S implies that zero 0 of $E(P_\lambda)$ is zero in S. Fix an arbitrary element $x \in S \setminus E(P_\lambda)$. Then for an arbitrary open neighbourhood $U(x)$ of the point x in S such that $0 \notin U(x)$ the continuity of the semilattice operation in S implies that there exists an open neighbourhood $V(x) \subseteq U(x)$ of x in S such that $V(x) \cdot V(x) \subseteq U(x)$. Now, the neighbourhood $V(x)$ intersects infinitely many maximal chains of the semilattice $E(P_\lambda)$, because all maximal chains in $E(P_\lambda)$ with the induced topology from (P_λ, τ) are absolutely H-closed topological semilattices. Then the semigroup operation of P_λ implies that $0 \in V(x) \cdot V(x) \subseteq U(x)$, which contradicts the choice of the neighbourhood $U(0)$. Therefore, $E(P_\lambda)$ with the induced topology from (P_λ, τ) is an H-closed topological semilattice.

Now, by Corollary 6 the topological inverse semigroup (P_λ, τ) is H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups. Since the λ-polycyclic monoid P_λ is congruence free, every continuous homomorphic image of (P_λ, τ) is H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups. Indeed, if $h\colon (P_\lambda, \tau) \to T$ is a continuous (algebraic) homomorphism from (P_λ, τ) into a topological inverse semigroup T, then the set $U(h(0)) \cap h(L)$ is infinite for every open neighbourhood $U(h(0))$ of the image zero $h(0)$ in T. Then the previous part of the proof implies that $h(P_\lambda)$ is a closed subsemigroup of T. □

Remark 9. By Remark 2.6 from [30] (also see [30, p. 453], [29, Section 2.1] and [31, Proposition 9.3.1]) for every positive integer $n \geqslant 2$ any non-zero element x of the polycyclic monoid P_n has the form $u^{-1}v$, where u and v are elements of the free monoid $\mathscr{M}_n$, and the semigroup operation on P_n in this representation is defined in the following way:

$$(1)\quad a^{-1}b \cdot c^{-1}d = \begin{cases} (c_1a)^{-1}d, & \text{if } c = c_1b \quad \text{for some } c_1 \in \mathscr{M}_n; \\ a^{-1}b_1d, & \text{if } b = b_1c \quad \text{for some } b_1 \in \mathscr{M}_n; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad \text{and} \qquad a^{-1}b \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot a^{-1}b = 0 \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Then Lemma 2.4 of [6] implies that every any non-zero element x of the polycyclic monoid P_λ has the form $u^{-1}v$, where u and v are elements of the free monoid $\mathscr{M}_\lambda$, and the semigroup operation on P_λ in this representation is defined by formula (1).

Now we shall construct a topology τ_{mi} on the λ-polycyclic monoid P_λ such that $(P_\lambda, \tau_{\mathsf{mi}})$ is absolutely H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups.

Example 10. We define a topology τ_{mi} on the polycyclic monoid P_λ in the following way. All non-zero elements of P_λ are isolated point in $(P_\lambda, \tau_{\mathsf{mi}})$. For an arbitrary finite subset A of $\mathscr{M}_\lambda$ put

$$U_A(0) = \{a^{-1}b\colon a, b \in \mathscr{M}_\lambda \setminus \{A\}\}.$$

We put $\mathscr{B}_{\mathsf{mi}} = \{U_A(0)\colon A \text{ is a finite subset of } \mathscr{M}_\lambda\}$ to be a base of the topology τ_{mi} at zero $0 \in P_\lambda$.

We observe that τ_{mi} is a Hausdorff topology on P_λ because $U_{\{a,b\}}(0) \not\ni a^{-1}b$ for every non-zero element $a^{-1}b \in P_\lambda$. Also, since $(U_A(0))^{-1} = U_A(0)$ for any $U_A(0) \in \mathscr{B}_{\mathsf{mi}}$, the inversion is continuous in $(P_\lambda, \tau_{\mathsf{mi}})$.

Fix an arbitrary $a^{-1}b \in P_\lambda$ and any basic neighbourhood $U_A(0)$ of zero in $(P_\lambda, \tau_{\mathsf{mi}})$. Let S_b be a set of all suffixes of the word b. Put $B = P_b \cup \{kb \in \mathscr{M}_\lambda \colon ka \in A\}$. It is obvious that the set B is finite and hence formula (1) implies that $a^{-1}b \cdot U_B(0) \subseteq U_A(0)$. Let S_a be a set of all suffixes of the word a. Put $D = S_a \cup \{ta \in \mathscr{M}_\lambda \colon tb \in A\}$. It is obvious that the set D is finite and hence formula (1) implies that $U_D(0) \cdot a^{-1}b \subseteq U_A(0)$. Also $U_T(0) \cdot U_T(0) \subseteq U_A(0)$ for $T = A \cup \{b \in \mathscr{M}_\lambda \colon$ b is a suffix of some $a \in A\}$. Therefore $(P_\lambda, \tau_{\mathsf{mi}})$ is a topological inverse semigroup.

Theorem 8 and Example 10 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 11. *The topological inverse semigroup $(P_\lambda, \tau_{\mathsf{mi}})$ is absolutely H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups.*

Definition 12 ([23])**.** A Hausdorff topological (inverse) semigroup (S, τ) is said to be *minimal* if no Hausdorff semigroup (inverse) topology on S is strictly contained in τ. If (S, τ) is minimal topological (inverse) semigroup, then τ is called a *minimal (inverse) semigroup topology.*

Minimal topological groups were introduced independently in the early 1970's by Doïtchinov [14] and Stephenson [39]. Both authors were motivated by the theory of minimal topological spaces, which was well understood at that time (cf. [9]). More than 20 years earlier L. Nachbin [34] had studied minimality in the context of division rings, and B. Banaschewski [4] investigated minimality in the more general setting of topological algebras. In [23] on the infinite semigroup of $\lambda \times \lambda$-matrix units B_λ the minimal semigroup and the minimal semigroup inverse topologies were constructed.

Theorem 13. *For any infinite cardinal λ, τ_{mi} is the coarsest inverse semigroup topology on P_λ, and hence is minimal inverse semigroup.*

Proof. The definition of the topology τ_{mi} on P_λ implies that the subsemigroup of idempotents $E(P_\lambda)$ of the semigroup P_λ is a compact subset of the space $(P_\lambda, \tau_{\mathsf{mi}})$. By Proposition 3.1 of [6] every non zero-element of a semitopological monoid (P_λ, τ) is an isolated point in the space (P_λ, τ). This and above arguments imply that the topology τ_{mi} on P_λ induces the coarsest semigroup topology on the semilattice $E(P_\lambda)$. Also by Remark 2.6 from [30] (also see [30, p. 453], [29, Section 2.1] and [31, Proposition 9.3.1])we have that every non-zero element of the semilattice $E(P_\lambda)$ can be represented in the form $a^{-1}a$ where a are elements of the free monoid $\mathscr{M}_n$, and the semigroup operation on $E(P_\lambda)$ in this representation is defined by formula (1).

Also, we observe that for any topological inverse semigroup S the following maps $\varphi \colon S \to E(S)$ and $\psi \colon S \to E(S)$ defines by the formulae $\varphi(x) = xx^{-1}$ and $\psi(x) = x^{-1}x$, respectively, are continuous. Since the inverse element of $u^{-1}v$ in P_λ is equal to $v^{-1}u$, we have that $U_A = P_\lambda \setminus (\varphi^{-1}(A) \cup \psi^{-1}(A))$, for any finite subset A of the free monoid $\mathscr{M}_n$. This implies that $U_A(A) \in \tau$ for every inverse semigroup topology τ on P_λ, where A is an arbitrary finite subset of $\mathscr{M}_n$. Thus, τ_{mi} is the coarsest inverse semigroup topology on the λ-polycyclic monoid P_λ. □

In the next example we construct a topological inverse monoid S which contains the polycyclic monoid $P_2 = \langle p_1, p_2 \mid p_1p_1^{-1} = p_2p_2^{-1} = 1, p_1p_2^{-1} = p_2p_1^{-1} = 0\rangle$ as a dense discrete subsemigroup, i.e., the polycyclic monoid P_2 with the discrete topology is not H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups. Also, later we assume that the free monoid $\mathscr{M}_2$ is generated by two element p_1 and p_2.

Example 14. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be the filter on the bicyclic semigroup $\mathscr{C}(p_1, p_1^{-1}) = \langle p_1, p_1^{-1} \mid p_1p_1^{-1} = 1\rangle$, generated by the base $\mathscr{B} = \{U_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $U_n = \left\{p_1^{-k}p_1^m \colon k, m > n\right\}$. We denote

$$A = \left\{a^{-1}b \in P_2 \colon a \neq p_1a_1 \text{ and } b \neq p_1b_1 \text{ for any } a_1, b_1 \in \mathscr{M}_2\right\}.$$

For any element $a^{-1}b$ of the set A let $\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b}$ be the filter on P_2, generated by the base $\mathscr{B}_{a^{-1}b} = \{V_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $V_n = a^{-1}U_nb = \left\{(p_1^ka)^{-1}p_1^mb \colon k, m > n\right\}$. It is obvious that $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_{1^{-1}1}$, where 1 is the unit element of the free monoid $\mathscr{M}_2$.

We extend the binary operation from P_2 onto $S = P_2 \cup \{\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b} \colon a^{-1}b \in A\}$ by the following formulae:

$$\text{(I)}\ a^{-1}b\cdot\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d}=\begin{cases}\mathscr{F}_{(ea)^{-1}d}, & \text{if } c=eb;\\ \mathscr{F}_{(e)^{-1}d}, & \text{if } b=p_1^nc \text{ for some } n\in\mathbb{N}, \text{ where } e \text{ is the longest suffix of } a\\ & \text{ such that } e\neq p_1f \text{ for some } f\in M_2;\\ 0, & \text{otherwise;}\end{cases}$$

$$\text{(II)}\ \mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d}\cdot a^{-1}b=\begin{cases}\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}eb}, & \text{if } d=ea;\\ \mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}e}, & \text{if } a=p_1^nd \text{ for some } n\in\mathbb{N}, \text{ where } e \text{ is the longest suffix of } b\\ & \text{ such that } e\neq p_1f \text{ for some } f\in M_2;\\ 0, & \text{otherwise;}\end{cases}$$

$$\text{(III)}\ \mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b}\cdot\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d}=\begin{cases}\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}d}, & \text{if } b=c;\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

It is obvious that the subset $T=S\setminus P_2\cup\{0\}$ with the induced binary operation from S is isomorphic to the semigroup of $\omega\times\omega$-matrix units B_ω and moreover we have that $(\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b})^{-1}=\mathscr{F}_{b^{-1}a}$ in T.

We determine a topology τ on the set S in the following way: assume that the elements of the semigroup P_2 are isolated points in (S,τ) and the family

$$\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b})=\{U_n(\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b}):U_n\in\mathscr{B}_{a^{-1}b}\}$$

of the set $U_n(\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b})=U_n\cup\{\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b}\}$ is a neighborhood base of the topology τ at the point $\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b}\in S$.

Now we show that so defined binary operation on (S,τ) is continuous.

In case (I) we consider three cases.

If $a^{-1}b\cdot\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d}=0$ then we have that $a^{-1}b\cdot U_n(\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d})=\{0\}$ for any positive integer n.

If $a^{-1}b\cdot\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d}=\mathscr{F}_{(ea)^{-1}d}$ then $c=eb$. We claim that $a^{-1}b\cdot U_n(\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d})\subseteq U_n(\mathscr{F}_{(ea)^{-1}d})$ for any open basic neighbourhood $U_n(\mathscr{F}_{(ea)^{-1}d})$ of the point $\mathscr{F}_{(ea)^{-1}d}$ in (S,τ). Indeed, if $x\in U_n(\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d})$ then $x=(p_1^mc)^{-1}p_1^kd$ for some positive integers $m,k>n$, and hence we have that

$$a^{-1}b\cdot(p_1^mc)^{-1}p_1^kd=a^{-1}b\cdot(p_1^meb)^{-1}p_1^kd=(p_1^mea)^{-1}p_1^kd\in U_n(\mathscr{F}_{(ea)^{-1}d}).$$

If $a^{-1}b\cdot\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d}=\mathscr{F}_{e^{-1}d}$, then e is the longest suffix of the word a in $\mathscr{M}_2$ which is not equal to the word p_1f for some $f\in\mathscr{M}_2$. This holds when $b=p_1^tc$ for some positive integer t. We claim that $a^{-1}b\cdot U_{n+t}(\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d})\subseteq U_n(\mathscr{F}_{e^{-1}d})$ for any open basic neighbourhood $U_n(\mathscr{F}_{e^{-1}d})$ of the point $\mathscr{F}_{e^{-1}d}$ in (S,τ). Indeed, if $x\in U_{n+t}(\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d})$, then $x=(p_1^{m+t}c)^{-1}p_1^{k+t}d$ for some positive integers $m,k>n$, and hence we have that

$$a^{-1}b\cdot(p_1^{m+t}c)^{-1}p_1^{k+t}d=e^{-1}p_1^{-l}p_1^tc\cdot(p_1^{m+t}c)^{-1}p_1^{k+t}d=(p_1^{m+l}e)^{-1}p_1^{k+t}d\in U_n(\mathscr{F}_{e^{-1}d}).$$

In case (II) the proof of the continuity of binary operation in (S,τ) is similar to case (I).

Now we consider case (III).

If $\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b}\cdot\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d}=0$ then $U_n(\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b})\cdot U_n(\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d})\subseteq\{0\}$, for any open basic neighbourhoods $U_n(\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b})$ and $U_n(\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d})$ of the points $\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b}$ and $\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d}$ in (S,τ), respectively.

If $\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b}\cdot\mathscr{F}_{c^{-1}d}=\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}d}$ then $b=c$ and for every any open basic neighbourhood $U_n(\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}d})$ of the point $\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}d}$ in (S,τ) we have that $U_n(\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b})\cdot U_n(\mathscr{F}_{b^{-1}d})\subseteq U_n(\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}d})$. Indeed if $(p_1^ka)^{-1}p_1^tb\in U_n(\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b})$ and $(p_1^lb)^{-1}p_1^md\in U_n(\mathscr{F}_{b^{-1}d})$ then

$$(p_1^ka)^{-1}p_1^tb\cdot(p_1^lb)^{-1}p_1^md=(p_1^ka)^{-1}p_1^t(b\cdot b^{-1})p_1^{-l}p_1^md=(p_1^sa)^{-1}p_1^zd,$$

for some positive integers $s,z>n$, and hence $(p_1^sa)^{-1}p_1^zd\in U_n(\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}d})$.

Thus, we proved that the binary operation on (S,τ) is continuous. Taking into account that P_2 is a dense subsemigroup of (S,τ) we conclude that (S,τ) is a topological semigroup. Also, since $T=S\setminus P_2\cup\{0\}$ with the induced binary operation from S is isomorphic to the semigroup of $\omega\times\omega$-matrix units B_ω we have that idempotents in S commute and moreover $\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b}\cdot\mathscr{F}_{b^{-1}a}\cdot\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b}=\mathscr{F}_{b^{-1}a}$. This implies that S is an inverse semigroup. Also, for every open basic neighbourhood $U_n(\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b})$ of the point $\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b}$ in (S,τ) we have that $(U_n(\mathscr{F}_{a^{-1}b}))^{-1}=U_n(\mathscr{F}_{b^{-1}a})$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and hence the inversion in (S,τ) is continuous.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Taras Banakh for his comments and suggestions.

References

[1] A. D. Aleksandrov, *On an extension of Hausdorff space to H-closed*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. **37** (1942), 138–141 (in Russian).
[2] O. Andersen, *Ein Bericht über die Struktur abstrakter Halbgruppen*, PhD Thesis, Hamburg, 1952.
[3] A. Arhangel'skii and M. Choban, *Semitopological groups and the theorems of Montgomery and Ellis*, C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci. **62**:8 (2009), 917–922.
[4] B. Banaschewski, *Minimal topological algebras,* Math. Ann. **211** (1974), 107–114.
[5] S. Bardyla and O. Gutik, *On $\mathscr{H}$-complete topological semilattices*, Mat. Stud. 38:2 (2012), 118–123.
[6] S. Bardyla and O. Gutik, *On a semitopological polycyclic monoid*, Algebra Discr. Math. (to appear) (arXiv: 1601.01151).
[7] S. Bardyla, O. Gutik, and A. Ravsky, *H-closed quasitopological groups*, Topology Appl. (to appear) (arXiv: 1506.08320).
[8] T. Berezovski, O. Gutik, and K. Pavlyk, *Brandt extensions and primitive topological inverse semigroups*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2010** (2010) Article ID 671401, 13 pages
[9] M. P. Berri, J. R. Porter, and R. M. Stephenson, Jr., *A survey of minimal topological spaces*, In: "General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analisys and Algebra". Proc. (1968) Kanpur Topol. Conf. Ed.: S. P. Franklin, Z. Frolik, and V. Koutnik. Academic. Praha (1971), pp. 93–114.
[10] J. H. Carruth, J. A. Hildebrant, and R. J. Koch, *The Theory of Topological Semigroups*, Vol. I, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1983; Vol. II, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1986.
[11] I. Chuchman and O. Gutik, *On H-closed topological semigroups and semilattices*, Algebra Discr. Math. no. **1** (2007), 13–23.
[12] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vols. I and II, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys **7**, Providence, R.I., 1961 and 1967.
[13] D. N. Dikranjan and V. V. Uspenskij, *Categorically compact topological groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **126** (1998), 149–168.
[14] D. Doïtchinov, *Produits de groupes topologiques minimaux,* Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **97** (1972), 59–64.
[15] C. Eberhart and J. Selden, *On the closure of the bicyclic semigroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (1969), 115–126.
[16] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, 2nd ed., Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
[17] J. A. Green, *On the structure of semigroups*, Ann. Math. (2) **54** (1951), 163-172.
[18] O. Gutik, *On closures in semitopological inverse semigroups with continuous inversion*, Algebra Discr. Math. **18**:1 (2014), 59–85.
[19] O. Gutik, J. Lawson, and D. Repovs, *Semigroup closures of finite rank symmetric inverse semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **78**:2 (2009), 326–336.
[20] O. Gutik, D. Pagon and D. Repovš, *On chains in H-closed topological pospaces*, Order **27**:1 (2010), 69–81.
[21] O. V. Gutik and K. P. Pavlyk, *H-closed topological semigroups and topological Brandt λ-extensions*, Math. Methods and Phys.-Mech. Fields **44**:3 (2001), 20–28.
[22] O. V. Gutik and K. P. Pavlyk, *Topological Brandt λ-extensions of absolutely H-closed topological inverse semigroups*, Visnyk Lviv Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Math. **61** (2003), 98–105.
[23] O. V. Gutik and K. P. Pavlyk, *On topological semigroups of matrix units*, Semigroup Forum **71**:3 (2005), 389–400.
[24] O. Gutik, K. Pavlyk, and A. Reiter, Topological semigroups of matrix units and countably compact Brandt λ^0-extensions, Mat. Stud. **32**:2 (2009), 115–131.
[25] O. V. Gutik and A. R. Reiter, Symmetric inverse topological semigroups of finite rank $\leqslant n$, Math. Methods and Phys.-Mech. Fields **52**:3 (2009), 7–14.
[26] O. Gutik and A. Reiter, *On semitopological symmetric inverse semigroups of a bounded finite rank*, Visnyk Lviv Univ. Ser. Mech. Math. **72** (2010), 94–106 (in Ukrainian)
[27] O. Gutik and D. Repovš, *On linearly ordered H-closed topological semilattices*, Semigroup Forum **77**:3 (2008), 474–481.
[28] P. M. Higgins, *Techniques of Semigroup Theory*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1992.
[29] D. G. Jones, *Polycyclic monoids and their generalizations*. PhD Thesis, Heriot-Watt University, 2011.
[30] D. G. Jones and M. V. Lawson, *Graph inverse semigroups: Their characterization and completion*, J. Algebra **409** (2014), 444–473.
[31] M. Lawson, *Inverse Semigroups. The Theory of Partial Symmetries*, Singapore: World Scientific, 1998.
[32] Z. Mesyan, J. D. Mitchell, M. Morayne, and Y. H. Péresse, *Topological graph inverse semigroups*, Preprint (arXiv:1306.5388).

[33] W. D. Munn, *Uniform semilattices and bisimple inverse semigroups*, Quart. J. Math. **17**:1 (1966), 151–159.
[34] L. Nachbin, *On strictly minimal topological division rings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 1128–1136.
[35] M. Nivat and J.-F. Perrot, *Une généralisation du monoide bicyclique*, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A **271** (1970), 824–827.
[36] M. Petrich, *Inverse Semigroups*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
[37] D. A. Raikov, *On a completion of topological groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. **10** (1946), 513–528.
[38] O. Ravsky, *On H-closed paratopological groups*, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **61** (2003), 172–179.
[39] R. M. Stephenson, Jr., *Minimal topological groups,* Math. Ann. **192** (1971), 193–195.
[40] J. W. Stepp, *A note on maximal locally compact semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 251–253.
[41] J. W. Stepp, *Algebraic maximal semilattices*. Pacific J. Math. **58**:1 (1975), 243–248.

Faculty of Mathematics, National University of Lviv, Universytetska 1, Lviv, 79000, Ukraine
E-mail address: sbardyla@yahoo.com
E-mail address: o_gutik@franko.lviv.ua, ovgutik@yahoo.com